## Remarks by the Honorable Frederick Gregory NASA Deputy Administrator Aerospace Industries Association Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. September 28, 2005

Thank you Congressman Weldon (Dave Weldon R-FL) for that very generous introduction and good afternoon everyone.

It is an honor to be introduced by you and I certainly wish to express NASA's appreciation for your and Representative Norm Dicks' leadership of the House Aerospace Caucus. Also, it was great to see you at the launch of Discovery this summer.

Today, I wish to give you an update on the Vision for Space Exploration, and emphasize why it will be so important in the coming days and weeks for members of AIA to keep our members of Congress informed as to what we do and why it's so important to our nation's long-term future.

But first, I'd like to give you an update on the post-hurricane status of NASA's facilities in the Gulf Coast. After taking minimal damage, The Johnson Space Center is open and has officially taken back control of the International Space Station.

The Stennis Space Center in Mississippi and Michoud External Tank Assembly Facility in New Orleans are also now operating, although it appears Katrina is making our March launch window unlikely with May appearing feasible.

In the immediate aftermath of these storms, which NASA earth observation technology played a large role in helping to accurately predict and monitor in cooperation with our colleagues at NOAA, we've been working hard to take care of the needs of our dedicated employees, as about 20 percent of Stennis employees and 40 percent of Michoud employees are homeless.

The Administrator has stated we will do everything we can to help our employees get their lives back on track and that we will continue NASA's proud tradition of utilizing Stennis and Michoud to produce the space hardware and research application products that contribute so greatly to our mission objectives.

These are the objectives that President Bush has said "Will enhance America's long-term economic, scientific and security interests."

Our new mission, under the Vision for Space Exploration requires us to focus our efforts on an achievable, practical plan to move beyond the space shuttle, with new launch and cargo carrying vehicles, into a new era of exploration activities beyond low Earth orbit, something I'd always hoped we'd be doing.

We believe our new exploration systems architecture will help us achieve our nation's space exploration goals in a manner that is both cost-effective and efficient, and which complements other national space launch requirements.

This architecture fits within NASA's available budget of just seven tenths of one percent of the federal budget, and envisions timely progress in reaching our space exploration goals.

Since we are here in a place where the people's representatives consider funding for federal government programs, I'd like to put the hard work of space exploration in a broader focus.

The American people have always had the ability to look beyond the challenges of the present day with optimism and hope, and make long-term investments in the future well being of our society.

The space program is such an investment. It is our seed corn for a future that will realize amazing technological developments and an expansion of our civilization and culture throughout the solar system.

Today, some critics suggest that we deal with our national responsibilities by cutting back on the space program.

I frankly do not believe America needs to consider ceding our leadership in space, any more that our country had to consider giving up on the promise of aviation 99 years ago following the San Francisco earthquake, or abandon the moon landing program in 1969, following Hurricane Camille.

When this nation makes a steady investment in the space program—the equivalent of allocating a small portion of one's individual IRA account in a promising high technology mutual fund—we support pioneering exploration activities that will fuel American creativity, innovation and technology development.

Indeed, we're confident the technology development necessary to execute and implement our long-range exploration program will accelerate advances in robotics, autonomous and fault tolerant systems, human-machine interfaces, materials, life support systems and novel applications of microdevices, to name a few.

But more importantly, we're giving the brave men and women who fly in our spacecraft a mission worthy of the risks we take on when people like me volunteer for the astronaut program.

In this regard, I'd like to comment briefly on the article in USA Today this morning about the Shuttle program. What the Administrator said, really a restatement of words he has previously used, is that due to circumstances of policy-making that took place over 30 years

ago, this nation committed to a shuttle system that for all its strengths is still is pushing the envelope of technology.

We now have a unique opportunity as we continue to utilize the shuttle to complete the International Space Station, and use this facility to master the tasks we will need for extended exploration operations well beyond low Earth Orbit, to create our next generation of space craft.

While spaceflight will always be risky, it is within our ability to use proven Apollo and shuttle technology to create a safer and more reliable spacecraft system that will enable us to do the kinds of things in 21<sup>st</sup> century exploration that will enable the U.S. to pioneer the future.

There is another specific issue related to NASA's funding that deserves mentioning. You may have heard some people suggest ending the shuttle program right away, instead of waiting through 2010 for its orderly retirement.

Such a move would break our commitments to our International partners and strain relationships that I have worked very hard to cultivate through my chairmanship of the International Space Station Multilateral Coordination Board.

Second, if we retired the shuttle with undue haste, we would risk losing the very talented engineers we are counting on to develop our next generation spacecraft.

We made a significant mistake when the Apollo program was canceled prematurely, causing a lot of good people to leave the agency well before the shuttle was on-line. We should not repeat this mistake again.

By moving forward on a rationale basis, we will productively engage some of America's top technical talent, advance scientific progress and technology development, and, as a side benefit, contribute to the reconstruction of the Gulf Coast.

Additionally, NASA's interest in developing these new launch vehicles and spacecraft has also spurred productive cooperation in space transportation requirements between NASA and the defense community.

In this regard, last month Administrator Griffin and the Department of Defense Executive Agent for Space, Dr. Ron Sega, signed a letter which outlined

our agreement on our respective requirements for future launch systems.

Responding to the President's National Security Policy Directive 40, the agreement stipulates that separating human-rated space exploration from unmanned payload launch will best achieve reliable and affordable assured access to space while maintaining our industrial base in both liquid and solid propulsion launch systems.

The major elements of the agreed strategy for the use and development of national launch systems are as follows:

First, both NASA and DoD will utilize the Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle for all intermediate and larger payloads for national security, civil and science missions in the 5 to 20 metric-ton-class to the maximum extent possible

consistent with the law and national policies. Where practical, this will include re-supply missions to the International Space Station.

Second, NASA will initiate development of a crew launch vehicle, derived from space shuttle solid rocket boosters with a new upper-stage for human spaceflight missions in the 25 to 30 metric-ton-class. This vehicle will support missions to station and then on to the surface of the moon.

And third, NASA will begin development after 2010 of a new 125 metric-ton-class launch vehicle that will carry on in the tradition of the Saturn Five in supporting the next great era of lunar exploration.

The agreement also calls on NASA and DoD to explore mutually beneficial cooperation for new upper stage development, advanced materials, other new propulsion technologies and potential ride-shares

on manned and unmanned missions, with both sides hitching rides on each others capabilities.

Before concluding, I'd like to discuss upcoming congressional action on the space program.

We await the scheduling of a House-Senate conference to negotiate differences in the appropriations bills, and our chief concern there is having adequate flexibility – in personnel, budgeting, and program priorities – to get the job done under tight fiscal constraints.

We hope that the Congress will provide full funding of the President's Fiscal Year 2006 request. This includes funding that will ensure timely development of the proposed Crew Exploration and Crew Launch Vehicles, and redirection of Exploration Systems Research and Technology and Human Systems Research and Technology funding to Constellation Systems.

Turning to the House and Senate Authorization bills, we support the House-passed legislation and the legislation before the Senate as they both endorse the Vision for Space Exploration and fund the President's FY 06 budget request.

We do not support the Senate language calling for additional research aboard the International Space Station that is not related to the Vision for Exploration.

NASA is also concerned about the lack of workforce flexibility that is in the House version, and will likely be in the Senate version as well. We look forward to working with conferees to address this issue.

One aspect of the House and Senate

Authorization bill language we do like is that
supporting development of a National Aeronautics

Policy, something I think is long over due.

NASA supports this initiative and under the leadership of Lisa Porter is pursuing a restructured agency portfolio to ensure stronger focus on fundamental aeronautics research.

Finally, there is the question of the Iran Nonproliferation Act of 2000. This legislation singled out NASA human spaceflight programs for special restrictions that effectively prohibit U.S. government purchases from Russia.

This issue becomes critical for continued
International Space Station operations next year,
when Russian commitments to provide certain goods
and services, including crew rescue, as part of their
contribution to the ISS program are set to expire.

To address this issue, the Administration has been seeking a balanced approach to cooperation with Russia that continues to protect U.S. nonproliferation goals while advancing potential U.S. cooperation on the Vision for Space Exploration, through amendment of the Iran Nonproliferation Act.

This approach is embodied in the amendment which passed the Senate last Wednesday and now awaits a vote in the House of Representatives. The amendment would allow NASA to procure Russian goods and services, or commercial services that use Russian components, for International Space Station-related activities until 2012. The Administration is continuing to work with the House to ensure that any amendment to INA contains the policy balance previously outlined.

In conclusion, I was thinking the other day how back in 1941 Henry Luce, the founding editor of Time Magazine, predicted the coming of the American Century, a time when we would "accept wholeheartedly our duty and our opportunity as the most powerful and vital nation of the world."

By Luce's standard, we are still in the midst of the American Century, and I firmly believe what we can do to advance progress on the space frontier has never been more critical for our country. And we definitely need to do more. So I commend all of you for your commitment to unmatched American leadership in space exploration.

Thank you again for your warm hospitality and for the honor of speaking to you today.

## Remarks by the Honorable Frederick Gregory NASA Deputy Administrator Aerospace Industries Association Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. September 28, 2005

Thank you Congressman Weldon (Dave Weldon R-FL) for that very generous introduction and good afternoon everyone.

It is an honor to be introduced by you and I certainly wish to express NASA's appreciation for your and Representative Norm Dicks' leadership of the House Aerospace Caucus. Also, it was great to see you at the launch of Discovery this summer.

Today, I wish to give you an update on the Vision for Space Exploration, and emphasize why it will be so important in the coming days and weeks for members of AIA to keep our members of Congress informed as to what we do and why it's so important to our nation's long-term future.

But first, I'd like to give you an update on the post-hurricane status of NASA's facilities in the Gulf Coast. After taking minimal damage, The Johnson Space Center is open and has officially taken back control of the International Space Station.

The Stennis Space Center in Mississippi and Michoud External Tank Assembly Facility in New Orleans are also now operating, although it appears Katrina is making our March launch window unlikely with May appearing feasible.

In the immediate aftermath of these storms, which NASA earth observation technology played a large role in helping to accurately predict and monitor in cooperation with our colleagues at NOAA, we've been working hard to take care of the needs of our dedicated employees, as about 20 percent of Stennis employees and 40 percent of Michoud employees are homeless.

The Administrator has stated we will do everything we can to help our employees get their lives back on track and that we will continue NASA's proud tradition of utilizing Stennis and Michoud to produce the space hardware and research application products that contribute so greatly to our mission objectives.

These are the objectives that President Bush has said "Will enhance America's long-term economic, scientific and security interests."

Our new mission, under the Vision for Space Exploration requires us to focus our efforts on an achievable, practical plan to move beyond the space shuttle, with new launch and cargo carrying vehicles, into a new era of exploration activities beyond low Earth orbit, something I'd always hoped we'd be doing.

We believe our new exploration systems architecture will help us achieve our nation's space exploration goals in a manner that is both cost-effective and efficient, and which complements other national space launch requirements.

This architecture fits within NASA's available budget of just seven tenths of one percent of the federal budget, and envisions timely progress in reaching our space exploration goals.

Since we are here in a place where the people's representatives consider funding for federal government programs, I'd like to put the hard work of space exploration in a broader focus.

The American people have always had the ability to look beyond the challenges of the present day with optimism and hope, and make long-term investments in the future well being of our society.

The space program is such an investment. It is our seed corn for a future that will realize amazing technological developments and an expansion of our civilization and culture throughout the solar system.

Today, some critics suggest that we deal with our national responsibilities by cutting back on the space program.

I frankly do not believe America needs to consider ceding our leadership in space, any more that our country had to consider giving up on the promise of aviation 99 years ago following the San Francisco earthquake, or abandon the moon landing program in 1969, following Hurricane Camille.

When this nation makes a steady investment in the space program—the equivalent of allocating a small portion of one's individual IRA account in a promising high technology mutual fund—we support pioneering exploration activities that will fuel American creativity, innovation and technology development.

Indeed, we're confident the technology development necessary to execute and implement our long-range exploration program will accelerate advances in robotics, autonomous and fault tolerant systems, human-machine interfaces, materials, life support systems and novel applications of microdevices, to name a few.

But more importantly, we're giving the brave men and women who fly in our spacecraft a mission worthy of the risks we take on when people like me volunteer for the astronaut program.

In this regard, I'd like to comment briefly on the article in USA Today this morning about the Shuttle program. What the Administrator said, really a restatement of words he has previously used, is that due to circumstances of policy-making that took place over 30 years

ago, this nation committed to a shuttle system that for all its strengths is still is pushing the envelope of technology.

We now have a unique opportunity as we continue to utilize the shuttle to complete the International Space Station, and use this facility to master the tasks we will need for extended exploration operations well beyond low Earth Orbit, to create our next generation of space craft.

While spaceflight will always be risky, it is within our ability to use proven Apollo and shuttle technology to create a safer and more reliable spacecraft system that will enable us to do the kinds of things in 21<sup>st</sup> century exploration that will enable the U.S. to pioneer the future.

There is another specific issue related to NASA's funding that deserves mentioning. You may have heard some people suggest ending the shuttle program right away, instead of waiting through 2010 for its orderly retirement.

Such a move would break our commitments to our International partners and strain relationships that I have worked very hard to cultivate through my chairmanship of the International Space Station Multilateral Coordination Board.

Second, if we retired the shuttle with undue haste, we would risk losing the very talented engineers we are counting on to develop our next generation spacecraft.

We made a significant mistake when the Apollo program was canceled prematurely, causing a lot of good people to leave the agency well before the shuttle was on-line. We should not repeat this mistake again.

By moving forward on a rationale basis, we will productively engage some of America's top technical talent, advance scientific progress and technology development, and, as a side benefit, contribute to the reconstruction of the Gulf Coast.

Additionally, NASA's interest in developing these new launch vehicles and spacecraft has also spurred productive cooperation in space transportation requirements between NASA and the defense community.

In this regard, last month Administrator Griffin and the Department of Defense Executive Agent for Space, Dr. Ron Sega, signed a letter which outlined

our agreement on our respective requirements for future launch systems.

Responding to the President's National Security Policy Directive 40, the agreement stipulates that separating human-rated space exploration from unmanned payload launch will best achieve reliable and affordable assured access to space while maintaining our industrial base in both liquid and solid propulsion launch systems.

The major elements of the agreed strategy for the use and development of national launch systems are as follows:

First, both NASA and DoD will utilize the Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle for all intermediate and larger payloads for national security, civil and science missions in the 5 to 20 metric-ton-class to the maximum extent possible

consistent with the law and national policies. Where practical, this will include re-supply missions to the International Space Station.

Second, NASA will initiate development of a crew launch vehicle, derived from space shuttle solid rocket boosters with a new upper-stage for human spaceflight missions in the 25 to 30 metric-ton-class. This vehicle will support missions to station and then on to the surface of the moon.

And third, NASA will begin development after 2010 of a new 125 metric-ton-class launch vehicle that will carry on in the tradition of the Saturn Five in supporting the next great era of lunar exploration.

The agreement also calls on NASA and DoD to explore mutually beneficial cooperation for new upper stage development, advanced materials, other new propulsion technologies and potential ride-shares

on manned and unmanned missions, with both sides hitching rides on each others capabilities.

Before concluding, I'd like to discuss upcoming congressional action on the space program.

We await the scheduling of a House-Senate conference to negotiate differences in the appropriations bills, and our chief concern there is having adequate flexibility – in personnel, budgeting, and program priorities – to get the job done under tight fiscal constraints.

We hope that the Congress will provide full funding of the President's Fiscal Year 2006 request. This includes funding that will ensure timely development of the proposed Crew Exploration and Crew Launch Vehicles, and redirection of Exploration Systems Research and Technology and Human Systems Research and Technology funding to Constellation Systems.

Turning to the House and Senate Authorization bills, we support the House-passed legislation and the legislation before the Senate as they both endorse the Vision for Space Exploration and fund the President's FY 06 budget request.

We do not support the Senate language calling for additional research aboard the International Space Station that is not related to the Vision for Exploration.

NASA is also concerned about the lack of workforce flexibility that is in the House version, and will likely be in the Senate version as well. We look forward to working with conferees to address this issue.

One aspect of the House and Senate

Authorization bill language we do like is that
supporting development of a National Aeronautics

Policy, something I think is long over due.

NASA supports this initiative and under the leadership of Lisa Porter is pursuing a restructured agency portfolio to ensure stronger focus on fundamental aeronautics research.

Finally, there is the question of the Iran Nonproliferation Act of 2000. This legislation singled out NASA human spaceflight programs for special restrictions that effectively prohibit U.S. government purchases from Russia.

This issue becomes critical for continued
International Space Station operations next year,
when Russian commitments to provide certain goods
and services, including crew rescue, as part of their
contribution to the ISS program are set to expire.

To address this issue, the Administration has been seeking a balanced approach to cooperation with Russia that continues to protect U.S. nonproliferation goals while advancing potential U.S. cooperation on the Vision for Space Exploration, through amendment of the Iran Nonproliferation Act.

This approach is embodied in the amendment which passed the Senate last Wednesday and now awaits a vote in the House of Representatives. The amendment would allow NASA to procure Russian goods and services, or commercial services that use Russian components, for International Space Station-related activities until 2012. The Administration is continuing to work with the House to ensure that any amendment to INA contains the policy balance previously outlined.

In conclusion, I was thinking the other day how back in 1941 Henry Luce, the founding editor of Time Magazine, predicted the coming of the American Century, a time when we would "accept wholeheartedly our duty and our opportunity as the most powerful and vital nation of the world."

By Luce's standard, we are still in the midst of the American Century, and I firmly believe what we can do to advance progress on the space frontier has never been more critical for our country. And we definitely need to do more. So I commend all of you for your commitment to unmatched American leadership in space exploration.

Thank you again for your warm hospitality and for the honor of speaking to you today.